

**Amendments to the Specification:**

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 10, line 1 with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 3A and FIG. 3B illustrate updating of the main table for an example network topology 2. FIG. 3A depicts adjacent links and neighbor tables 4 of node  $i$ , while FIG. 3B depicts the network topology 2 along with a table 6 of preferred neighbors along with the main link table of node  $i$  after merging the neighbor tables 4.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 10, line 17 with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 4A through FIG. 4C shows the significance of the tie-breaking rule. FIG. 4A depicts an example network topology 12 with unit link costs. FIG. 4B illustrates node  $i$  having the costs of its adjacent links and the shortest path trees 14 of its neighbors  $p$  and  $q$ . The distances of nodes  $x$  and  $y$  from  $i$  is identical through both neighbors  $p$  and  $q$ . FIG. 4C illustrates that if MTU breaks ties in an arbitrary manner while constructing  $T^i$ , it may choose  $p$  as the preferred neighbor for node  $x$  and choose  $q$  as the preferred neighbor for node  $y$ , which results in a graph 16 that has no path from  $i$  to  $j$ . It will be appreciated, therefore, that ties should not be broken in an arbitrary manner.